

Semileptonic Decay of B -Meson into D^{**} and the Bjorken Sum Rule

MASAHISA MATSUDA and TSUYOSHI B. SUZUKI*

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aichi University of Education,
Kariya Aichi 448, Japan*

**Department of Physics, Nagoya University,
Nagoya 464-01, Japan*

Abstract

We study the semileptonic branching fraction of B -meson into higher resonance of charmed meson D^{**} by using the Bjorken sum rule and the heavy quark effective theory(HQET). This sum rule and the current experiment of B -meson semileptonic decay into D and D^* predict that the branching ratio into $D^{**}l\nu_l$ is about 1.7%. This predicted value is larger than the value obtained by various models.

It is well known that the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) is a very useful method to study physics of hadrons containing a heavy quark[1]. For example, the HQET is used to determine Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix element $|V_{cb}|$, from experiment of semileptonic decay $B \rightarrow D^* l \nu$ [2]. The attractive features of the HQET are generally verified in phenomena where both of initial and final states include ground states of heavy hadron. However, the phenomena between a ground state and an excited one, for example branching ratio of B meson semileptonic decay into the excited charmed meson state $B \rightarrow D^{**} l \nu$, where D^{**} means a higher resonance state of charmed meson, are not interpreted in various models of hadrons based on the HQET[3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. This discrepancy could be reduced to the models of hadrons as a composite system. It is expected that the models which interpret the B -meson branching fractions of the semileptonic decays into D , D^* and D^{**} s. At present we have no such a model. So it is meaningful to study these processes by a model-independent approach. The purpose of this short note is a test of the HQET applicability to an excited state by dealing with charmed hadron excited states D^{**} without model dependence.

In the heavy quark limit $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$, heavy quark spin and velocity are free from low energy QCD[1]. So hadron state is factorized into heavy quark $|Q\rangle$ and light degrees of freedom (*l.d.f.*) $|ldf\rangle$ as

$$|\text{hadron}\rangle = |Q\rangle \otimes |ldf\rangle. \quad (1)$$

These hadrons are classified by *l.d.f.* as following

$$\begin{aligned} (0^-, 1^-) &= |Q(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle \otimes |ldf(\frac{1}{2}^-)\rangle, \\ (0^+, 1^+) &= |Q(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle \otimes |ldf(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle, \\ (1^+, 2^+) &= |Q(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle \otimes |ldf(\frac{3}{2}^+)\rangle, \\ (1^-, 2^-) &= |Q(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle \otimes |ldf(\frac{3}{2}^-)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we use the notation as

$$(J_-^P, J_+^P) = |Q(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle \otimes |ldf(j^P)\rangle,$$

with

$$J_{\pm} = j \pm \frac{1}{2} \quad (3)$$

and J_{\pm}^P of left hand side denotes spin-parity of heavy hadrons and j^P of right hand side is spin-parity of $l.d.f.$.

In semileptonic decay conserving light degrees of freedom like $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-$ such as $B(0^-)$ goes to $D(0^-)$ or $D^*(1^-)$, there are 6 independent form factors defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D(v') | J_{\mu} | B(v) \rangle &= f_+(y)(v + v')_{\mu} + f_-(y)(v - v')_{\mu}, \\ \langle D^*(v') | J_{\mu} | B(v) \rangle &= ig(y)\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{*\nu}v'^{\alpha}v^{\beta} - (y+1)f(y)\epsilon_{\mu}^* \\ &\quad + (\epsilon^* \cdot v) \{ \tilde{a}_+(y)(v + v')_{\mu} + \tilde{a}_-(y)(v - v')_{\mu} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where v and v' are the velocity of B and D or D^* , respectively. As the result of heavy quark symmetry, relations among these form factors are obtained and finally there exists only one independent form factor in these processes[1]. This form factor written by $\xi(y)$ is called as Isgur-Wise function (IW function). Following the HQET we obtain only one independent form factor in each semileptonic process such as $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^-, \dots$ [9, 10]. In this letter we denote these IW functions as ξ_E , ξ_F and ξ_G for $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^-$, respectively. The relations between form factors and IW function are given in Ref.[9].

We can derive the Bjorken sum rule on IW functions[10, 11]. In the HQET hadron state is factorized into heavy and light degrees of freedom like in Eq.(1) and the sum of transition rate between heavy hadrons H_Q and $H'_{Q'}$ is equal to transition rate of heavy quark Q into Q' as

$$\sum_{H'_{Q'}} g^{\mu\nu} \langle H'_{Q'} | J_{\mu} | H_Q \rangle \langle H_Q | J_{\nu}^{\dagger} | H'_{Q'} \rangle = g^{\mu\nu} \langle Q' | J_{\mu} | Q \rangle \langle Q | J_{\nu}^{\dagger} | Q' \rangle \sum_{ldf'} |\langle ldf' | ldf \rangle|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle Q' | J^\mu | Q \rangle \langle Q | J_\mu^\dagger | Q' \rangle \\
&= -8y,
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $y \equiv v \cdot v'$ and here we use the unitarity relation

$$\sum_{ldf'} |\langle ldf' | ldf \rangle|^2 = 1. \tag{6}$$

On the other hand in the case of heavy meson transition $B \rightarrow D_X$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{H'=D, D^*} g^{\mu\nu} \langle H'(v') | J_\mu | B(v) \rangle \langle B(v) | J_\nu^\dagger | H(v') \rangle &= -4y(y+1)|\xi(y)|^2, \\
\sum_{H'=D_0^*, D_1} g^{\mu\nu} \langle H'(v') | J_\mu | B(v) \rangle \langle B(v) | J_\nu^\dagger | H(v') \rangle &= -4y(y-1)|\xi_E(y)|^2, \\
\sum_{H'=D_1, D_2^*} g^{\mu\nu} \langle H'(v') | J_\mu | B(v) \rangle \langle B(v) | J_\nu^\dagger | H(v') \rangle &= -\frac{8}{3}y^2(y^2-1)(y+1)|\xi_F(y)|^2, \\
\sum_{H'=D_1^*, D_2} g^{\mu\nu} \langle H'(v') | J_\mu | B(v) \rangle \langle B(v) | J_\nu^\dagger | H(v') \rangle &= -\frac{8}{3}y^2(y^2-1)(y-1)|\xi_G(y)|^2, \\
\sum_{H'=D_{C_2}, D_{C_2}^*} g^{\mu\nu} \langle H'(v') | J_\mu | B(v) \rangle \langle B(v) | J_\nu^\dagger | H(v') \rangle &= -4y(y+1)|\xi_{C_2}(y)|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

by the straight calculation[12], where index C_2 denotes radial excitation of $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$. Here, we introduce the hypothesis of resonance saturation which means that it is possible to neglect the contribution from the continuum spectra to semileptonic decay. Under this assumption the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \frac{y+1}{2} (|\xi(y)|^2 + |\xi_{C_2}(y)|^2) + \frac{y-1}{2} |\xi_E(y)|^2 + \frac{1}{3} y(y^2-1)(y+1) |\xi_F(y)|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} y(y^2-1)(y-1) |\xi_G(y)|^2 + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

is derived by the comparison of Eqs.(5) and (7), where dots means contributions from other higher resonances. This is the simplified Bjorken sum rule[10, 11].

In B meson semileptonic decay, differential decay rate is given as,

$$\frac{d\Gamma_X}{dy} \equiv \frac{d\Gamma}{dy}(B \rightarrow D_X l \nu) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{48\pi^3} m_B^2 \sqrt{y^2 - 1} m_{D_X}^3 W_X(y, r_X) |\xi_X(y)|^2, \tag{9}$$

where lepton mass is neglected and $W_X(y, r_X)$ is a calculable functions of y and $r_X = \frac{m_{D_X}}{m_B}$ [9]. In the following analysis, We assume that the excited states, which contribute to the simplified Bjorken sum rule, saturate the B -meson semileptonic decay rate(resonance saturation hypothesis) and that these excited states occur in a mass range being small compared with m_c . The latter assumption leads us to replace m_{D_X} ($X = C_2, E, F, G, \dots$) by a common mass $m_{D^{**}}$. Then we can sum up these decay rates for all D^{**} and we get the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\Gamma_{**}}{dy} &\equiv \frac{d\Gamma}{dy}(B \rightarrow D^{**}l\nu) \\
&= \sum_{X=C_2, E, F, G, \dots} \frac{d\Gamma_X}{dy} \\
&= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{48\pi^3} m_B^2 \sqrt{y^2 - 1} \sum_{X=C_2, E, F, G, \dots} m_{D_X}^3 W_X(y, r_X) |\xi_X(y)|^2 \\
&= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{24\pi^3} m_B^2 \sqrt{y^2 - 1} m_{D^{**}}^3 \\
&\times \left[(y-1)(1+r)^2 + (y+1)(1-r)^2 + 4y(1+r^2 - 2ry) \right] \\
&\times \left[\frac{y+1}{2} |\xi_{C_2}(y)|^2 + \frac{y-1}{2} |\xi_E(y)|^2 + \frac{1}{3} y(y^2 - 1)(y+1) |\xi_F(y)|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{3} y(y^2 - 1)(y-1) |\xi_G(y)|^2 + \dots \right]. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

By using Eq.(8), we obtain the D^{**} contribution as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\Gamma_{**}}{dy} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{24\pi^3} m_B^2 \sqrt{y^2 - 1} m_{D^{**}}^3 \\
&\times \left[(y-1)(1+r)^2 + (y+1)(1-r)^2 + 4y(1+r^2 - 2ry) \right] \\
&\times \left[1 - \frac{y+1}{2} |\xi(y)|^2 \right]. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

From this result we can estimate the D^{**} contribution by using the following parameters[13]

$$V_{cb} = 0.040, \quad m_B = 5.279 \text{ GeV}, \quad \tau_B = 1.537 \text{ ps} \tag{12}$$

and for the mass of D^{**} we use the following weighted average mass

$$m_{D^{**}} = \frac{3m_{D_1} + 5m_{D_2^*}}{8} = 2.444 \text{ GeV} \tag{13}$$

with $m_{D_1} = 2.420\text{GeV}$ and $m_{D_2^*} = 2.460\text{GeV}$. We use the IW function $\xi(y)$ with following three trial functions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I)} \quad & 1 - \rho^2(y - 1) & \rho &= 0.91^{+0.19}_{-0.21}, \\ \text{(II)} \quad & \exp[-\rho^2(y - 1)] & \rho &= 0.99^{+0.27}_{-0.25}, \\ \text{(III)} \quad & \left(\frac{2}{y+1}\right)^{2\rho^2} & \rho &= 1.03^{+0.28}_{-0.26}, \end{aligned}$$

where ρ is a free parameter to reproduce the experimental branching ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}(B \rightarrow D^{(*)}l\nu) &= \text{Br}(B \rightarrow Dl\nu) + \text{Br}(B \rightarrow D^*l\nu) \\ &= (1.6 \pm 0.7) \% + (6.6 \pm 2.2) \% \\ &= (8.2 \pm 2.3) \%, \end{aligned}$$

and we use $m_{D^{(*)}} = \frac{m_D + 3m_{D^*}}{4} = 1.975\text{GeV}$ to determine ρ .

Table 1: Branching ratio $B \rightarrow D_X l \nu$ ($|\frac{V_{cb}}{0.040}|^2 \frac{\tau_B}{1.537\text{ps}} \%$)

	D	D^*	D^{**}	$\sum_X D_X$
(I)	1.91 $^{+0.68}_{-0.76}$	6.24 $^{+1.53}_{-1.63}$	1.58 $^{+0.89}_{-0.86}$	9.73 $^{+1.33}_{-1.52}$
(II)	1.95 $^{+0.67}_{-0.72}$	6.22 $^{+1.61}_{-1.61}$	1.71 $^{+1.05}_{-0.93}$	9.88 $^{+1.24}_{-1.40}$
(III)	1.96 $^{+0.67}_{-0.72}$	6.21 $^{+1.62}_{-1.62}$	1.77 $^{+1.06}_{-0.95}$	9.94 $^{+1.23}_{-1.39}$
Average	1.94 $^{+0.68}_{-0.73}$	6.22 $^{+1.59}_{-1.62}$	1.69 $^{+1.00}_{-0.91}$	9.85 $^{+1.27}_{-1.44}$

The D^{**} contribution and D_X total contribution ($D + D^* + D^{**}$) are given in Table 1. Here we use Eq.(11) to obtain D^{**} contribution which gives about 1.7% branching fraction. The direct measurement of D^{**} contribution is $2.7 \pm 0.7\%$ [13]. This is a factor 1.6 larger at central value than the theoretical estimation of D^{**} obtained by the simplified Bjorken sum rule Eq.(8). However, the experimental error is still large and the estimated magnitude of D^{**} contribution seems to be consistent with the present experiment. On the other hand, inclusive semileptonic decay branching ratio is experimentally $10.43 \pm 0.24\%$ and the unidentified semileptonic

Table 2: Comparison of D^{**} contribution $\left(|\frac{V_{cb}}{0.040}|^2 \frac{\tau_B}{1.537 \text{ ps}} \%\right)$

	ISGW2[7]	SISM[9]	CNP[4]	VO[8]	ours*	Exp.[13]
$\text{Br}(D + D^*)$	9.03	7.23	7.24	9.24	8.16^\dagger	8.2 ± 2.3
$\text{Br}(D^{**})$	0.96	0.33	0.53	0.96	1.69	2.7 ± 0.7

*In this table ours data are average in Table 1.

† This is an input value.

branching fraction [13] is

$$\text{Br}(B \rightarrow \text{unknown}) = \text{Br}(\text{inclusive}) - \text{Br}(B \rightarrow D \text{ and } D^* l \nu) = 2.2 \pm 2.3 \%. \quad (14)$$

This also shows that the resonance saturation hypothesis and the approximate mass degeneracy among the excited charmed mesons ($D_0^*, D_1, D_1^*, D_2, D_2^*, D_{c_2}, D_{c_2}^* \dots$) might hold in B meson semileptonic decay.

In order to estimate the contribution from each resonance, it is necessary to calculate exclusive decay processes by using hadronic models as given in Ref.[3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Through these studies there is a tendency that branching fractions into D_2^* and D_1 are rather larger compared to the other excited states. The maximum fraction among D^{**} s is D_2^* in Ref.[4, 8, 9] with the magnitude $0.1\% \sim 0.4\%$ and is D_1 in Ref.[7] with 0.4% . To make clear which model is better, further exclusive experiments are needed. One more feature in common with model-dependent analyses given in Table 2 is that relatively small D^{**} fraction is predicted and is inconsistent with experimental value.

Further in order to check our model-independent approach we apply this method to B_s semileptonic decay processes. The IW function of $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)} l \nu$ is the same one of $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} l \nu$, because u, d and s quarks are treated as *l.d.f.* in the HQET. In the numerical estimation the parameters are[13]

$$\begin{aligned} m_{B_s} &= 5.375 \text{ GeV}, & \tau_{B_s} &= 1.34 \text{ ps}, \\ m_{D_s^{(*)}} &= \frac{m_{D_s} + 3m_{D_s^*}}{4} = 2.075 \text{ GeV}, \end{aligned}$$

$$m_{D_s^{(**)}} = \frac{3m_{D_{s1}} + 5m_{D_{s2}^*}}{8} = 2.556 \text{ GeV},$$

where $m_{D_{s2}^*} \cong 2568 \text{ MeV}$ is a presumption from other charmed meson masses by using the relation $m_{D_{s2}^*} - m_{D_{s1}}(2535) = m_{D_2^*}(2456) - m_{D_1}(2423)$. Following the same argument of $B \rightarrow D_X l \nu$ we can give the branching ratios of B_s to D_s , D_s^* and D_s^{**} in Table 3. The predicted branching ratio is similar to ones of $B \rightarrow D_X l \nu$ as shown in Table 1. The largest branching ratio is reduced to a fraction to D_s^* and a contribution from excited states D_s^{**} is less than 16% of inclusive ratio. If this is confirmed by experiments it will verify the validity of the analysis using the Bjorken sum rule.

Table 3: Branching ratio $B_s \rightarrow D_{sX} l \nu \left(\left| \frac{V_{cb}}{0.040} \right|^2 \frac{\tau_{B_s}}{1.34 \text{ ps}} \right) \%$

	D_s	D_s^*	D_s^{**}	$\sum_X D_{sX}$
(I)	$1.79^{+0.59}_{-0.64}$	$5.78^{+1.31}_{-1.37}$	$1.28^{+0.73}_{-0.70}$	$8.84^{+1.17}_{-1.31}$
(II)	$1.80^{+0.59}_{-0.61}$	$5.72^{+1.41}_{-1.38}$	$1.40^{+0.88}_{-0.76}$	$8.92^{+1.12}_{-1.23}$
(III)	$1.81^{+0.59}_{-0.61}$	$5.70^{+1.42}_{-1.39}$	$1.45^{+0.89}_{-0.78}$	$8.96^{+1.12}_{-1.22}$
Average	$1.80^{+0.59}_{-0.62}$	$5.73^{+1.38}_{-1.38}$	$1.38^{+0.83}_{-0.75}$	$8.91^{+1.14}_{-1.25}$

In this letter we estimate the semileptonic branching ratios of B to excited charmed mesons by using a method independent on specific hadron models. The Bjorken sum rule will be checked by measuring the contribution from higher resonance of charmed meson in semileptonic decay of $B_{u,d}$ and B_s meson. The prediction is given under the assumption that the semileptonic decay is saturated by the three body decays $B \rightarrow D_X l \nu$ and the continuum contribution is negligible and that the excited states have approximately equal masses. We get $\text{Br}(B \rightarrow D^{**} l \nu) = 1.7 \pm 1.0\%$ which seems to be consistent with the experimental value $2.7 \pm 0.7\%$. We also estimate $\text{Br}(B_s \rightarrow D_s, D_s^*, D_s^{**} l \nu)$ to be 1.8%, 5.7% and 1.4%, respectively. The predicted branching ratios are similar to $\text{Br}(B \rightarrow D, D^*, D^{**} l \nu)$. These evaluations are to be checked by experiments in near future and we expect that the

model-independent approach will be confirmed experimentally.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for enjoyable discussions with T. Itoh and Y. Matsui. One of authors(M. M) would like to thank for the financial support by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan(No.06640386).

References

- [1] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Lett.* **B232** (1989), 113 ; **B237** (1990), 527.
- [2] M. Neubert, *Phys. Lett.* **B264** (1991), 455.
- [3] N. Isgur, D. Scora, B. Grinstein and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989), 799.
- [4] P. Colangelo, G. Nardulli and N. Pavor, *Proceedings of ECFA Workshop on a European B -meson Factory* (1992), 129-154 (hep/ph 9303220).
- [5] M. Sutherland, B. Holdom, S. Jaimungal and R. Lewis, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995), 5053.
- [6] A. Wambach, *Nucl. Phys.* **B434** (1995), 647.
- [7] D. Scora and N. Isgur, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995), 2783.
- [8] S. Veseli and M. G. Olsson, *MADPH-96-924* (hep-ph/9601307).
- [9] T. B. Suzuki, T. Ito, S. Sawada and M. Matsuda, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **91** (1994), 757.
- [10] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991), 819.

- [11] J. D. Bjorken, New Symmetries in heavy flavour physics, SLAC-PUB-5278 (1990).
J. D. Bjorken, in Theoretical topics in B-physics SLAC-PUB-5389 (1990).
N. Isgur, M. B. Wise and M. Youssefmir, Phys. Lett. **B254** (1991), 215.
- [12] To obtain these equations, we use Eqs.(13a)-(13l) and the form factor relation given in Table II in Ref.[9].
- [13] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994).